Evidence of nodal gap structure in the basal plane of the FeSe superconductor
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Identifying the symmetry of the wave function describing the Cooper pairs is pivotal in understanding the origin of high-temperature superconductivity in iron-based superconductors. Despite nearly a decade of intense investigation, the answer to this question remains elusive. Here, we use the muon spin rotation/relaxation (μSR) technique to investigate the underlying symmetry of the pairing state of the FeSe superconductor, the basic building block of all iron-chalcogenide superconductors. Contrary to earlier μSR studies on powders and crystals, we show that while the superconducting gap is most probably anisotropic but nodeless along the crystallographic c axis, it is nodal in the ab plane, as indicated by the linear increase of the superfluid density at low temperature. We further show that the superconducting properties of FeSe display a less pronounced anisotropy than expected.
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High-transition-temperature \( T_c \) superconductivity in Fe-based materials is an intriguing emergent phenomenon in modern condensed matter physics research [1–5]. Among various Fe-based superconductors, FeSe is one of the most interesting and extensively studied compounds due to its extremely simple crystal structure, high \( T_c \) values, unconventional superconducting state, and unusual normal-state properties. Superconductivity takes place in the FeSe layer which is the basic building block of all Fe-chalcogenide superconductors [6]. Despite nearly a decade of extensive research, the symmetry of the superconducting gaps in FeSe, which is intimately connected to the electron pairing mechanism in this material and all other related Fe-based superconductors, is still the subject of intense debate. While anisotropic line nodes or deep minima in the superconducting gaps have been suggested theoretically in FeSe [7], most experimental techniques have detected two superconducting gaps, however, without any consensus about the presence or absence of nodes in either of the gaps [8–17]. Notable exceptions are surface-sensitive scanning tunneling spectroscopic (STS) measurements performed on FeSe thin films, which detected V-shaped conducting spectra in the superconducting state, indicating the presence of nodes in the gap structure [18]. A similar STS experiment conducted on the twin boundaries of FeSe single crystals displayed a fully gapped structure, suggesting a gap-symmetry evolution from nodal in the bulk to nodeless at the twin boundaries [19], a finding that has been argued to be in agreement with the detection of a finite gap in multiple domains while in single domains the gap is found to be zero within experimental resolution [20]. Recently, Sprau et al. used a quasiparticle interference imaging technique and detected gap minima in the \( \alpha \) and \( \epsilon \) bands of the Fe plane [21]. They further suggested that the Cooper pairing in FeSe is orbital selective, involving predominantly the \( d_{x^2−y^2} \) orbitals of the Fe atoms. However, the majority of the techniques used so far in detecting nodes or gap minima are surface sensitive only and give limited or no information about the symmetry of the pairing state in the bulk of FeSe. To date, there is no clear and direct bulk evidence of nodes in the gap structure of FeSe. Clarifying this issue is highly desirable not only to determine the exact nature of the superconducting state in FeSe, but also because a comparison with the other Fe-based superconductors and the cuprates may pave the way to understand the essential ingredients of high-temperature superconductivity.

In this Rapid Communication, we have used the muon spin rotation/relaxation (μSR) technique to reveal the symmetry of the superconducting gap along the crystallographic c axis and \( ab \) plane of FeSe single crystals. The measurement of the field distribution in the vortex state by μSR is one of the most direct and accurate methods to determine the absolute value of the magnetic penetration depth \( \lambda \) and its temperature dependence [22]. \( \lambda(T) \) is related to the effective superfluid density, the density of the superconducting carriers \( n_s \), as \( \lambda^{-2}(T) \propto \frac{n_s(T)}{m^*} \), where \( m^* \) is the effective mass. The low-temperature behavior of \( \lambda(T) \) directly reflects the low-energy properties of the quasiparticle spectrum, and is therefore sensitive to the presence or absence of nodes in the superconducting gap. While for a fully gapped s-wave superconductor
axis is compatible with either a nodeless anisotropic dependence of the superfluid density along the crystallographic directions, as we probe from the out-of-plane-to-the-in-plane direction in the FeSe layer, suggests a directional-dependent pairing symmetry in FeSe.

The crystals were mounted on a 50-μm-thin copper foil, attached to a fork-shaped copper sample holder [see Fig. 1(a), inset]. Zero-field (ZF) and transverse-field (TF) μSR experiments were carried out using coaligned crystals. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the typical ZF-μSR time spectra collected above and below \( T_c \) with muon spin polarization \( P_\mu \) parallel to the crystallographic \( a \) and \( b \) axis. The solid lines are the fits to the data using the Kubo-Toyabe Gaussian distribution function, which describes the temporal evolution of the spin polarization in the presence of randomly oriented nuclear moments [24]. Details are described in the Supplemental Material (SM) [25]. ZF data collected above and below \( T_c \) in both \( a \)-wave or \( c \)-wave symmetries, that in the basal \( ab \) plane is better fitted assuming a two-gap \( s + d \)-wave symmetry. The nodal \( d \)-wave component reflects the linear increase of the superfluid density with decreasing temperature close to \( T = 0 \). The transition of the pairing symmetry from nodeless to nodal, as we probe from the out-of-plane-to-the-in-plane direction in the FeSe layer, suggests a directional-dependent pairing symmetry in FeSe.

Three sets of TF-μSR experiments were performed with the magnetic field \( H \) applied parallel to three crystallographic axes. Figures 2(a)–2(c) show the TF-μSR asymmetry spectra collected above and below \( T_c \) with \( H = 12 \) mT applied along the nominal \( a \), \( b \), and \( c \) axis, respectively. As expected, the TF-μSR signals decay much faster in the superconducting state than in the normal state due to the formation of a vortex lattice and the associated inhomogeneous magnetic field distribution. Figures 2(d)–2(f) show the fast Fourier transformation (FFT) of the TF-μSR spectra, revealing the line shape of the internal magnetic field distributions \( p(B) \) probed by the muons. Both TF-μSR time spectra and corresponding FFT clearly demonstrate that the μSR responses are identical for \( H \) applied parallel to the nominal \( a \) and \( b \) axis. This is expected due to the formation of structural twin domains in FeSe crystals. The background signal is relatively large for \( H \) applied parallel to the \( a \) and \( b \) axis. This is due to the bending of the muon beam under transverse magnetic field to the muon momentum. The field distribution in the FFT signals shows that \( p(B) \) is much more asymmetric for the \( H \parallel c \) axis than the \( H \parallel a/b \) axis. Also, the damping of the TF-μSR signals in the superconducting state is much stronger for the \( H \parallel c \) axis than the \( H \parallel a/b \) axis.

The muon spin depolarization rate \( \sigma \) can be determined by fitting the TF-μSR asymmetry spectra collected with the \( H \parallel a/b \) axis using damped spin precession functions

\[
A_{TF}(t) = A_0 \exp\left(-\sigma t^2/2\right) \cos(\gamma \mu_B B t) + A_d \cos(\gamma \mu_B B_d t + \phi),
\]  

\( \text{(1)} \)
where $A_0$ and $A_{bg}$ are the initial asymmetries of the sample and background signals, respectively, $\gamma_\mu/2\pi = 135.5$ MHz/T is the muon gyromagnetic ratio [22], $B$ and $B_{bg}$ are the internal and background magnetic fields, and $\phi$ is the initial phase of the muon precession signal. In order to account for the highly asymmetric nature of $p(B)$, TF-$\mu$SR asymmetry spectra collected for $H$ applied parallel to the $c$ axis were analyzed using the skewed Gaussian (SKG) field distribution, as described in Ref. [26] (also see SM).

Figures 2(g)–2(i) show the temperature dependence of $\sigma$ along all three crystallographic directions, extracted from the TF-$\mu$SR time spectra. The depolarization rate can be expressed as the geometric mean of the superconducting contribution to the relaxation rate due to the inhomogeneous field distributions of the vortex lattice $\sigma_{sc}$ and the temperature-independent nuclear magnetic dipolar contribution $\sigma_{nm}$, i.e.,

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_{sc}^2 + \sigma_{nm}^2}.$$ 

The temperature dependence of the in-plane and out-of-plane components of the magnetic penetration depth $\lambda_{ab}$ and $\lambda_c$ were calculated from $\sigma_{ab}$, $\sigma_{sc}$, and $\sigma_{nm}$ by using the simplified Brandt equation [26,27], as described in Ref. [26] (also see SM). Figures 3(a)–3(c) show the temperature dependence of $\lambda^{-2}$ for FeSe along the crystallographic $c$ axis and $ab$ plane, respectively. The solid curves are fit to the $\lambda^{-2}(T)$ using either a single-gap or a two-gap model,

$$\frac{\lambda^{-2}(T)}{\lambda^{-2}(0)} = \omega \frac{\lambda^{-2}(T, \Delta_{0,1})}{\lambda^{-2}(0, \Delta_{0,1})} + (1 - \omega) \frac{\lambda^{-2}(T, \Delta_{0,2})}{\lambda^{-2}(0, \Delta_{0,2})},$$

(2)

Here, $\lambda(0)$ is the value of the penetration depth at $T = 0$ K, $\Delta_{0,i}$ is the value of the $i$th ($i = 1$ or 2) superconducting gap at $T = 0$ K, and $\omega$ is the weighting factor of the first gap.

Each term in Eq. (2) is evaluated using the standard expression within the local London approximation ($\lambda \gg \xi$) [28] as

$$\frac{\lambda^{-2}(T, \Delta_{0,i})}{\lambda^{-2}(0, \Delta_{0,i})} = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\gamma E dE d\phi}{\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2(T, \phi)}} \right) d\phi dE,$$

(3)

where $f = [1 + \exp(E/k_B T)]^{-1}$ is the Fermi function, $\lambda$ is the angle along the Fermi surface, and $\Delta(T, \phi) = \Delta_{0,i} \delta(T/T_c) g(\phi)$, where $g(\phi)$ describes the angular dependence of the gap. $g(\phi)$ is 1 for the $s$-wave and $s$-$s$-wave gaps, $|\cos(2\phi)|$ for a $d$-wave, and $[1 + a |\cos(4\phi)|]$ for an anisotropic $s$-$s$-wave gap. An approximation to the temperature dependence in $\Delta(T)$ can be written as $\delta(T/T_c) = \tanh(1.82[1 + 0.18(T/T_c - 1)]^{0.51})$ [29].

All the fitted parameters are summarized in Table I and details about the fit functions are described in the SM. For the superfluid density along the $c$ axis, i.e., $\lambda^{-2}_c(T)$, both the single-gap anisotropic $s$-wave and two-gap $s$-$s$-wave gap models give the lowest $\chi^2_{\text{reduced}}$ value and hence represent the best fit to the data compared to any other models tried here. Gap parameters extracted from analysis are in excellent agreement with most of the reported values obtained on this system [8–15,20].

For the superfluid density in the $ab$ plane, i.e., $\lambda^{-2}_{ab}(T)$, we need to introduce a nodal $d$-wave gap along with an isotropic $s$-wave gap in order to reproduce the linear increase of the superfluid density close to zero temperature. We find that the $s$-$d$-wave model gives a much lower $\chi^2_{\text{reduced}}$ value than others. Our results strongly suggest that FeSe is indeed a multigap superconductor. The experimentally obtained superfluid density in the basal plane shows properties of a nodal superconductor irrespective of the field direction. These findings differ qualitatively from earlier reports on the $\mu$SR studies of FeSe evidencing nodeless superconductivity in this material [8,10]. This is probably due to the use of

![Fig. 3. (a), (c) Temperature dependence of $\lambda^{-2}$ for FeSe along the crystallographic $c$ axis. The solid curves are fit to the $\lambda^{-2}(T)$ using a nodeless anisotropic $s$-wave and two-gap $s$+$s$-wave models. (b) Temperature dependence of $\lambda^{-2}$ for FeSe in the $ab$ plane. The solid curves are fit to the $\lambda^{-2}(T)$ using a two-gap $s$+$d$-wave model. (d) Calculation of the averaged penetration depth $\lambda_c(T)$ using a nodeless anisotropic $s$-wave model. (e) Calculation of the averaged penetration depth $\lambda_c(T)$ using a nodeless anisotropic $s$-wave model.](image)
polycrystalline samples which is expected to give an average effect from all three directions. It is also well known that the presence of impurities can sometimes mask the true nature of the superconducting gap [30]. Our results are also consistent with the STS measurements performed on FeSe thin films showing nodes in the gap structure [18]. Recent specific heat data collected on the single crystals of FeSe show a linear behavior at low temperature, a signature that has been interpreted as nodal superconductivity [31,32]. More recently, Sun et al. has performed field-angle-resolved specific heat measurements of FeSe and found three superconducting gaps in FeSe with line nodes in the smaller gap [33]. A strongly anisotropic gap structure with deep minima has been observed in recent quasi-particle interference (QPI) imaging measurements by Sprau et al. [21]. An anisotropic gap structure has also been found along all momentum directions in a recent angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurement by Kushnirenko et al. [34]. It is important to note here that both QPI imaging and ARPES are surface-sensitive techniques and the deep minima observed at the surface may become nodes in the bulk of the FeSe superconductor.

To draw conclusions from the measured in-plane and out-of-plane penetration depths beyond the general statement of the presence or absence of nodal behavior in certain directions, we also present microscopic calculations of the penetration depth. For this purpose, we start from a recently proposed model for the electronic structure with the eigenenergies \( E_{\mu}(k) \) that is consistent with a number of experimental investigations on FeSe [21,35]. The superconducting gap function has been slightly modified to introduce a nodal structure in the bulk of FeSe. Taking into account the electronic structure as being a correlated electron gas via a reduced quasiparticle weight, one can calculate the penetration depth (tensor) without any free parameters. The key ingredient is the parametrization of the Green’s function for band \( v \) in presence of correlations via \( \hat{G}_v(k,\omega_n) = \hat{Z}_v(k)(i\omega_n - \hat{E}_{\mu}(k))^{-1} \), where \( \hat{Z}_v(k) = (\sum_s |a_{\mu}^v(k)|^2 \sqrt{Z_s})^2 \) is the momentum-dependent quasiparticle weight that is obtained from the quasiparticle weights of the orbitals \( Z_s \) and the matrix elements \( a_{\mu}^v(k) \) for the orbital-to-band transformation [21,35]. The structure of the matrix elements and the values of the quasiparticle weights have been deduced earlier [21,35]. Details on the calculation of the inverse square of penetration depth \( \lambda_{i}^{-2} \) for shielding supercurrent flowing in the \( i \) direction are presented in the Supplemental Material [25]. At the moment, we simply ignore the contribution of one of the Fermi surface pockets (\( \delta \) pocket) to the penetration depth. In line with the previous theoretical considerations and also in accordance to the expectations of the principal axis of the superfluid tensor [18], we choose the direction of the short Fe-Fe bond, the long Fe-Fe bond, and the crystallographic \( c \) axis as directions of our calculations. Noting that the relative magnitudes of \( \lambda_x \) and \( \lambda_y \) agree with the observed orientation of elongated vortices in FeSe (see SM), we need to keep in mind that the present experiment does not see the difference between the two directions because of the crystals. The geometric mean of the penetration depth in the plane \( \lambda_{av} \) is equivalent to the measured averaged penetration depth \( \lambda_{ab} \) due to the tensor nature of the superfluid density [36] (see SM). In Fig. 3(d) we show the result for \( \lambda_{av} \) from this calculation. From a theoretical point of view, the full gap is not robust against node formation, because FeSe in the nematic state allows spherical harmonics from \( s \)-wave-type gap functions to superimpose to contributions of \( d \)-wave symmetry, thus the relative strength of these contributions determines on whether the order parameter goes to zero on the Fermi surface. The properties of the pairing interaction and thus the superconducting gap can be slightly modified on the surface. Thus our result does not contradict the experimental findings by QPI [21]. Therefore, we used a gap function exhibiting nodes on the electron pocket [see Fig. 3(d), inset]. It is evident that the mentioned fully gapped state yields a saturating superfluid density at low temperatures, while the nodal state produces linear behavior in that quantity. A direct comparison of the calculated and measured penetration depth \( \lambda^{-2} \) over the full temperature range reveals only a difference of 5\% from the experimentally deduced value, an error that can easily be explained by errors in the gap magnitude and the Fermi velocities (see SM).

Table I shows the absolute values of the penetration depth \( \lambda(0) \) in both directions. In the basal plane \( \lambda(0) = 391(16) \) nm, which is lower than the value 514(53) nm out of the basal plane and reflects the anisotropic superconducting properties in FeSe. Theoretically, a much larger value of \( \lambda_c \) is expected given the small dispersion of the proposed electronic structure and the small Fermi velocities in the \( k_z \) direction. Even when taking into account a possible misalignment of the external field, our results indicate a more three-dimensional electronic structure for FeSe. From our determination of \( \lambda(0) \) and using the reported value of effective mass \( m^* \approx 4m_e \) [37] in the expression for the density of paired electrons \( n_s(0) = n_s \frac{m^*}{\mu^2 e^2 c^2 (0)} \), we estimate \( n_{3ab}(0) \approx 7.4 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-3}\) and \( n_{1c}(0) \approx 3.9 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-3}\). These values show that the overall carrier density in FeSe is small, with the basal plane of FeSe playing a preferred role in carrying superconductivity.

The observation of line nodes in the basal plane of FeSe superconductor is the main finding of this Rapid Communication. This conclusion does not require a specific theoretical model, but is directly related to the observed low-temperature behavior of \( 1/\lambda^2(T) \), which shows saturation in the out of plane and a linear increase in the basal plane as the temperature decreases to absolute zero. Such a linear increase of superfluid density reflects the presence of low-energy excitations and thus confirms nodes in the superconducting gap structure of FeSe.

D.T.A. would like to thank the Royal Society of London for U.K.-China Newton funding. Q.W. and J.Z. were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11374059), the National Key R&D Program of the MOST of China (Grant No. 2016YFA0300203), and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Program 973: 2015CB921302). Data are available from the corresponding author upon request.
EVIDENCE OF NODAL GAP STRUCTURE IN THE … PHYSICAL REVIEW B 98, 180501(R) (2018)

[19] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.180501 for the characterization measurements of the FeSe single crystals using a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer, details about the experimental methods and data analysis, and a summary of the theoretical modeling and calculations that otherwise need to be looked up from various references, which includes Refs. [38–49].
[20] R. Khasanov, H. Zhou, A. Amato, Z. Guguchia, E. Moreznoni, X. Dong, G. Zhang, and Z. Zhao, Proximity-induced superconductivity within the insulating \((L\!i_{0.34}Fe_0.16)OH\) layers in \((L\!i_{0.34}Fe_0.16)OHFeO_5\), Phys. Rev. B 93, 224512 (2016).


