Statistical-mechanical theory of the overall magnetic properties of mesocrystals
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The mesocrystal showing both electrorheological and magnetorheological effects is called electromagnetorheological (EMR) solids. Prediction of the overall magnetic properties of the EMR solids is a challenging task due to the coexistence of the uniaxially anisotropic behavior and structural transition as well as long-range interaction between the suspended particles. To consider the uniaxial anisotropy effect, we present an anisotropic Kirkwood-Fröhlich equation for calculating the effective permeabilities by adopting an explicit characteristic spheroid rather than a characteristic sphere used in the derivation of the usual Kirkwood-Fröhlich equation. Further, by applying an Ewald-Kornfeld formulation we are able to investigate the effective permeability by including the structural transition and long-range interaction explicitly. Our theory can reduce to the usual Kirkwood-Fröhlich equation and Onsager equation naturally. To this end, the numerical simulation shows the validity of monitoring the structure of EMR solids by detecting their effective permeabilities.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In 1998 and 1999 a new mesocrystal was reported [1,2] which combines both electrorheological (ER) or magnetorheological (MR) effects. This sort of mesocrystal is also called electro- and magnetorheological (EMR) solids. In fact, ER [3] and MR [4] fluids are generally particle suspensions in which the particles have large electric polarizability or magnetic permeability. In the application of an external electric or magnetic field, the suspended particles can form body-centered tetragonal (bct) mesocrystallities, namely ER or MR solids [5]. The EMR solid shows very interesting properties when the applied electric field $E$ (in $z$ axis) is perpendicular to the magnetic field $H$ (in $x$ or $y$ axis). In detail, in case of dominate electric field or dominate magnetic field, EMR solids have thick columns in the dominate field direction. These columns have a bct lattice as the ideal structure, too. Recently, a novel structural transition in EMR solids was theoretically [1] and experimentally [2] observed from bct to face-centered cubic (fcc) lattice in the presence of crossed electric and magnetic fields as the ratio between the magnetic field and electric field exceeds a minimum value.

Understanding the magnetic properties of EMR solids is critical to the design of EMR-fluid-based devices. Also, these magnetic properties may provide valuable insight into the character of the microstructure responsible for their field-dependent rheology as well as models of the EMR effect. Since for EMR solids the uniaxial anisotropy occurs naturally, the magnetic properties in longitudinal fields ($L$) should be different from those in transverse fields ($T$). Furthermore, the structural transition can affect the effective magnetic properties, and the long-range interaction between the particles (lattice effect) should be expected to play an important role as well. Thus, prediction of the overall magnetic properties of EMR solids is indeed a challenging task.

To calculate the effective permeability of EMR solids, the existing methods for cubic arrays of spheres [6] or for a suspension containing a dense array of particles [7] cannot be used directly. Recently, one developed a theory of homogenization to study the effective permeability of MR solids with a periodic microstructure [8]. In this paper, we shall present a statistical-mechanical theory, in order to calculate the effective permeability of the EMR solids.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, by developing the Kirkwood-Fröhlich equation and using the Ewald-Kornfeld formulation, we present a statistical-mechanical theory for the effective permeability of the EMR solids, and the numerical results are given as well. This paper ends with a discussion and conclusion in Sec. III.

II. FORMALISM AND NUMERICAL RESULTS

A. Contribution of permanent magnetic moments

For an EMR solid, its effective permeability $\mu_{\omega}$ is uniaxially anisotropic due to the application of external fields. In detail, the transverse component $\mu_{\omega}^{(T)}$ (in $x$ or $y$ axis) differs from the longitudinal component $\mu_{\omega}^{(L)}$ (in $z$ axis). In this connection, the effective permeability $\mu_{\omega}$ should possess a tensorial form like

$$\mu_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\omega}^{(T)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{\omega}^{(T)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{\omega}^{(L)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Since all the permeable particles of the EMR solid have a permanent magnetic dipole moment $m$, it becomes more difficult to derive the expression for $\mu_{\omega}$, For this purpose, Kirkwood [9] and Fröhlich [10] introduced a continuum with permeability $\mu_{\omega,0}$ which arises from induced magnetization only. Based on it, we shall derive the effective permeability $\mu_{\omega}$ of the EMR solid consisting of permeable particles with a permanent magnetic moment $m$. Let us start by seeing each particle with $m$ to have a new tensorial moment $m`$,
and to be embedded in a new host (introduced continuum) of 
\( \mu_{e0} \), where \( \mu_2 \) denotes the permeability of the nonmagnetic 
carrier fluid, and \( \mathbf{I} \) a unit matrix. The denominators in Eq. (2) 
and in the following equations should be interpreted as inverse 
matrices. In this model each particle is replaced by a 
point dipole \( \mathbf{m}^\prime \) having the same nonelectrostatic interactions 
with the other point dipoles as the particles had, while the 
magnetizability of the particles can be imagined to be 
smeared out to form a continuum with permeability \( \mu_{e0} \), 
which will be derived in Sec. II B. Next, to include the an-
isotropic feature, we take a characteristic spheroid of volume 
\( V \), which contains \( n \) particles. In doing so, the particles in the 
spheroid will be treated explicitly by taking into account the 
contribution of the particle interaction to the effective perme-
ability. In principle, the approximation in this method can be 
made as small as necessary by taking \( n \) sufficiently large. 
Here we should remark that for discussing isotropic cases 
As a matter of fact, no matter for a sphere or a spheroid, each 
of them should reflect the physical properties of the whole 
system under consideration. In this regard, for the present 
EMR solid a characteristic sphere is far from being satisfac-
tory, and a characteristic spheroid can be used instead so that 
the uniaxially anisotropic behavior of the suspension may be 
considered more physically. We shall show that the explicit 
spheroidal shape of choice can be determined exactly; see 
Eq. (19) below.

All statistical-mechanical theories of the permeability 
start from

\[
\mathbf{B} - \mu_2 \mathbf{H} = 4 \pi \rho_t ,
\]

where \( \mathbf{B} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) denote the magnetic induction and Maxwell 
field in the material outside the spheroid, respectively. By 
definition, we write for the magnetization density \( \rho_t \) as

\[
\rho_t V = \langle \mathbf{M} \rangle,
\]

where \( \langle \mathbf{M} \rangle \) stands for the average total magnetic moment 
of the spheroid. Here and below \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) stands for a statistical 
mechanical average, e.g.,

\[
\langle \mathbf{M} \rangle = \int d\mathbf{X} \mathbf{M} \exp^{-U/kT} / \int d\mathbf{X} \exp^{-U/kT}.
\]

In this expression, \( \mathbf{X} \) stands for the set of position and orient-
tation variables of all particles. Here \( U \) is the energy related 
to the dipoles in the spheroid, and it consists of three parts: the 
ergy of the dipoles in the external field, the magneto-
static interaction energy of the dipoles, and the nonmagneto-
static interaction energy between the dipoles which are 
responsible for the short-range correlation between orienta-
tions and positions of the dipoles.

Then, the tensorial effective permeability \( \tilde{\mu}_e \) of the whole 
system can be defined as

\[
\tilde{\mu}_e = \frac{\mu_{e0} + 2 \mu_2 \mathbf{I}}{3 \mu_2 \mathbf{I}} m.
\]

In view of Eqs. (4) and (5), we take one step forward to 
rewrite Eq. (3) as

\[
\langle \mathbf{M} \rangle = \frac{4 \pi \mathbf{I}}{V} \langle \mathbf{M}_t \rangle, \quad (M) = V \rho_t.
\]

Owing to \( \langle \mathbf{M}_t \rangle = V (\rho_t + \rho_o) \) and \( \rho_t = (1/4 \pi) (\tilde{\mu}_{e0} - \mu_2 \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{H} \), 
we have

\[
\tilde{\mu}_e - \tilde{\mu}_{e0} = 4 \pi \mathbf{I} \left( \frac{\partial \langle \mathbf{M}_t \rangle \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}}{\partial \mathbf{H}} \right)_{H=0}.
\]

where \( \rho_o \) stands for the orientational magnetization arising 
from the permanent magnetic moments. Rewriting with the 
external field \( H_0 \) instead of the Maxwell field \( \mathbf{H} \) as the indepen-
dent variable we obtain

\[
\tilde{\mu}_e - \tilde{\mu}_{e0} = 4 \pi \mathbf{I} \left( \frac{\partial \rho_o}{\partial \mathbf{H}} \right)_{H=0} \left( \frac{\partial \langle \mathbf{M}_t \rangle \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}}{\partial \mathbf{H}} \right)_{H=0}, \quad (M) = V \rho_t.
\]

In this case, the external field acting on the 
spheroid is
where the tensorial depolarization factor $\mathbf{g}$ represents the spheroid shape. In fact, the degree of field-induced anisotropy of the system is determined by how $\mathbf{g}$ deviates from $1/3I$ (isotropic limit). It is worth noting that $\mathbf{g}$ will be determined explicitly [see Eq. (19)], and that its components satisfy a sum rule $2g^{(T)}+g^{(L)}=1$ [11].

Starting from

$$
\left( \frac{\partial \langle \mathbf{M}_o \cdot \mathbf{e} \rangle}{\partial H_0} \right)_{H_0=0} = -\frac{1}{kT} \left( \mathbf{M}_o \cdot \mathbf{e} \frac{\partial U}{\partial H_0} \right)_{H_0=0},
$$

eventually we have

$$
\left( \frac{\partial \langle \mathbf{M}_o \cdot \mathbf{e} \rangle}{\partial H_0} \right)_{H_0=0} = \frac{1}{3kT} \langle \mathbf{M}_o^2 \rangle_{H_0=0}. \tag{13}
$$

If we use a tensorial Kirkwood correlation factor $\mathbf{\tilde{b}}$, then we obtain

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{l}} \langle \mathbf{M}_o^2 \rangle_{H_0=0} = n m^{\star 2} \mathbf{\tilde{b}}. \tag{14}
$$

In view of Eqs. (11), (13), and (14), Eq. (10) can be rewritten as

$$
\tilde{\mu}_e - \tilde{\mu}_o = \frac{4\pi N}{3kT} m^{\star 2} \mathbf{\tilde{b}} \cdot \mathbf{\tilde{b}}, \tag{15}
$$

where $N$ denotes the number density of the particles. For an isotropic system, namely $\mathbf{g}=1/3I$, Eq. (15) reduces to the usual Kirkwood-Fröhlich equation [10] which works for permeable particles with a permanent magnetic moment. If $\mathbf{g}=1/3I, \mathbf{\tilde{b}}=I$ and $\tilde{\mu}_o=I$, Eq. (15) reduces to the Onsager equation [12] which treats nonpermeable particles with a permanent magnetic moment embedded in vacuum. However, it is worth noting that in the derivation of the Onsager equation only one particle is considered in the characteristic sphere. That is, there is no more correlations between the particle orientations than can be accounted for with the help of the continuum method, thus yielding $\mathbf{\tilde{b}}=I$.

### B. Contribution of induced magnetic moments

Now we are in a position to derive the induced-magnetization-related permeability $\tilde{\mu}_o$ by performing an Ewald-Kornfeld formulation [13,14] so that the structural transition and long-range interaction can be taken into account explicitly. The ground state of the EMR solid is a bct (body-centered tetragonal) lattice, which can be regarded as a tetragonal lattice, plus a basis of two particles each of which is fixed with an induced point magnetic dipole at its center. One of the two particles is located at a corner and the other one at the body center of the tetragonal unit cell. Its lattice constants are denoted by $a_1=q\ell$ and $a_2=a_3=q^{-1/2}$ along the $z$- and $x-\langle y\rangle$-axes, respectively. In this case, the uniaxial anisotropic axis is directed along $z$ axis. As $q$ varies, the volume of the unit cell keeps unchanged, i.e., $V_c=\ell^3$. Thus, the degree of anisotropy of the tetragonal lattice is measured by how $q$ is deviated from unity. In particular, $q=0.87358, 1$ and $2^{1/3}$ represent the bct, bcc (body-centered cubic) and fcc lattice, respectively.

When one applies an external magnetic field $H_0$ along $x$ axis, the induced dipole moment $P$ are perpendicular to the uniaxial anisotropic axis. Then, the local field $H_{lc}$ at the lattice point $R=0$ can be determined. Let us take the transverse component as an example, and resort to the Ewald-Kornfeld formulation [13,14] to calculate the local field $H_{lc}$ such that

$$
H_{lc} = P \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{R=0}^{0} \left[ -\gamma_1(R_j) + \gamma_2(R_j) \right]
$$

$$
- \frac{4\pi P}{V_c} \sum_{G=0} \frac{G^2}{G^2} \exp \left( -\frac{G^2}{4\eta^2} \right) + \frac{4\pi \eta^3}{3\sqrt{\pi}}. \tag{16}
$$

In this equation, $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are two coefficients, given by

$$
\gamma_1(r) = \frac{\text{erfc} (\eta r)}{r^3} + \frac{2\eta}{\sqrt{\pi}r^2} \exp (-\eta^2 r^2),
$$

$$
\gamma_2(r) = \frac{3\text{erfc} (\eta r)}{r^3} + \left( \frac{4\pi \eta^3}{3\sqrt{\pi}r^2} + \frac{6\eta}{\sqrt{\pi}r^2} \right) \exp (-\eta^2 r^2),
$$

where $\text{erfc}(\eta r)$ is the complementary error function, and $\eta$ an adjustable parameter making the summation converge rapidly. In Eq. (16), $R$ and $G$ denote the lattice vector and the reciprocal lattice vector, respectively,

$$
\tilde{R} = \ell (q^{-1/2}k + q^{1/2}m\hat{y} + qn\hat{z}),
$$

$$
\tilde{G} = \frac{2\pi}{\ell} (q^{1/2}u\hat{x} + q^{1/2}v\hat{y} + q^{-1/2}w\hat{z}),
$$

where $l, m, n, u, v, w$ are integers. In addition, $x_j$ and $R_j$ of Eq. (16) are given by
and the structure factor leads to

\[ m \]

where \( m \) is a function of a single variable, \( J \)

It is worth remarking that \( a \) is a function of a single variable, namely, degree of anisotropy \( q \). Also, there is a sum rule \( 2\alpha^{(T)} + \alpha^{(L)} = 3 \) [15]. As \( q = 1 \), \( \alpha^{(T)} = \alpha^{(L)} \) just represents the isotropic limit. Next, we take one step forward to rewrite the well-known Maxwell-Garnett theory for isotropic suspensions as [14–16]

\[ \frac{\bar{\mu}_e - \mu_2}{\alpha \bar{\mu}_0} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\mu_1 + 2\mu_2} \]

where \( \mu_1 \) stands for the permeability of the particles. This is a developed Maxwell-Garnett theory for uniaxially anisotropic suspensions [14,15]. Then it is not difficult to see that the depolarization factor \( g \) [Eq. (11)] characterizing the shape of the characteristic spheroid of choice is determined by

\[ g = \frac{1}{3} \alpha. \]

The substitution of \( \bar{\mu}_0 \) [obtained from Eq. (18)] into Eq. (15) leads to \( \bar{\mu}_e \) as a result.

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) \( \mu_e^{(T)} \) and (b) \( \mu_e^{(L)} \) as a function of \( q \). Dot-dashed lines: bct \((q=0.87358)\), bcc \((q=1)\), and fcc \((q=2/3)\). Parameters: \( \mu_1 = 2000, \mu_2 = 1, m = 5.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ emu}, f = 0.2, N = 4.2 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}, \) and \( T = 298 \text{ K}. \) Solid lines are a guide for the eye.

C. Numerical results

Let us do some numerical simulations. Figure 1 displays \( \mu_e^{(T)} \) and \( \mu_e^{(L)} \) as a function of \( q \). For this figure, we used the Onsager consideration (i.e., assuming the characteristic spheroid contains only one particle, \( \bar{\mu} = \bar{I} \)), with a focus on the anisotropic effect. As \( q = 1 \), this system is in the isotropic limit, yielding \( \mu_e^{(T)} = \mu_e^{(L)} \). Thus, in Fig. 1 the two points at \( q = 1 \) are overlapped. It is found that the structural transition of the EMR solid (measured by the variation of \( q \)) can cause \( \bar{\mu}_e \) to change. To some extent, the numerical simulations show the validity of monitoring the structure of EMR solids by detecting their effective permeabilities.

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Here some comments are in order. An approximation expression for the Kirkwood correlation factor \( \beta \) can be obtained by taking only nearest-neighbors interactions into account [17]. In this case, the characteristic spheroid may be shrunk to contain only the \( i \)th particle and all the nearest neighbors. It is apparent that \( \beta^{(L)} \) or \( \beta^{(T)} \) will be different from 1 when there is correlation between the orientations of neighboring particles. When the particles tend to direct themselves with parallel permanent magnetic moments, \( \beta^{(L)} \) or \( \beta^{(T)} \) will be larger than 1. When the particles prefer an ordering with antiparallel moments, \( \beta^{(L)} \) or \( \beta^{(T)} \) will be smaller than 1. As the EMR solid is subjected to the external magnetic field, all the particles can easily direct themselves with parallel permanent magnetic moments. In this connection, \( \beta^{(L)} \) or \( \beta^{(T)} \) should be larger than 1, or could approximately be equal to \( 1 + N_c \), where \( N_c \) denotes the number of the closest neighboring particles. Nevertheless, once \( N_c > 0 \), the correlation between the nearest particles is included approximately, and this (no figures shown here) does not affect the present numerical result on the anisotropic effect as \( \beta^{(L)} = \beta^{(T)} = 1 \), i.e., \( N_c = 0 \). In particular, as \( N_c = 4 \) (i.e., for a bct lattice), we obtain \( \mu_e^{(T)} = 17.40 \) and \( \mu_e^{(L)} = 18.69 \). They both are larger than those of \( N_c = 0 \) due to the correlation, as expected.

The Bergman-Milton spectral representation (BMSR) [18] is an effective method for calculating the effective dielectric constant of a two-phase composite, and has been successfully applied in electrorheological fluids [19], in order to discuss the frequency-dependent complex dielectric constant. Alternatively, the BMSR should be expected to work for EMR solids, and a favorable comparison between the BMSR and the Ewald summation technique used in this work is expected.

To sum up, the aim of the present work is to develop a statistical-mechanical theory in order to calculate the effective permeability of a new mesocrystal (EMR solid). This theory allows one to study the overall magnetic properties of EMR solids, by taking into account the anisotropy and structural transition effects and the long-range interaction between the suspended particles. Our theory is expected to be of value in computer simulations of magnetic/dielectric properties of EMR fluids.
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